

TMD PDFs in Drell-Yan lepton pair production at LHC

S.P. Baranov*, A.V. Lipatov[†] and N.P. Zotov**

**Lebedev Institute of Physics, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

[†]SINP, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia

Joint Institute of Nuclear Physics, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

***SINP, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

Abstract. We consider the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) quark densities of the proton which are very important ingredients for unpolarized Drell-Yan (DY) lepton pair production. We calculate the TMD sea quark density as a convolution of the Catani-Ciafaloni-Fiorani-Marchesini (CCFM)-evolved gluon distribution and the TMD gluon-to-quark splitting function. Based on the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ production amplitude $q^* + \bar{q}^* \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+ + l^-$, calculated by taking into account the effective $q^* \bar{q}^* Z/\gamma^*$ - vertex, we analyze the distributions on the dilepton invariant mass, transverse momentum and rapidity and specific angular correlations between the produced leptons as measured by the CMS, ATLAS and LHCb collaborations. We briefly discuss also the process of associated lepton pair and jet production in pp collisions at the LHC.

Keywords: QCD, k_T – factorization, Drell-Yan pair production, TMD

PACS: 12.38.-t, 12-15.Ji

INTRODUCTION

The production of Drell-Yan lepton pairs at the LHC is subject of intense studies from both theoretical and experimental points of view. It provides a major source of background to a number of processes, such as Higgs, $t\bar{t}$ -pair, di-boson or W' and Z' bosons production and other processes beyond the Standard Model.

The pragmatic goal of our research is to obtain within the framework of the k_T – factorization approach the description of measured cross sections close to the precision one, in particular in the forward region, where the small- x dynamics come to the game. The predictive power of the k_T – factorization approach largely depends on the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) parton distributions [1]. So far the CCFM TMD parton distributions in the framework of the k_T -factorization approach take only gluon and valence quark contributions into account. However, the description of the quark-initiated processes requires to use the TMD sea quark densities. Recently, the TMD sea quark densities have been calculated [2] incorporating the effects of the TMD gluon-to-quark splitting function [3] which contains all single logarithmic small- x corrections to sea quark evolution for any order of perturbation theory. In the present paper we apply the TMD sea quark densities [2] to investigate the Drell-Yan lepton pair production and their associative one with jet at the LHC. Unlike the papers [4, 5, 6] we concentrate on the off-shell quark-antiquark annihilation $q^* + \bar{q}^* \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+ + l^-$ and calculate the corresponding production amplitude according to the reggeized quark approach [7, 8], which is based on the effective action formalism [9] in addition to accounting of transverse momentum dependence in the gluon-to-quark branching [3].

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Our consideration is based on the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ subprocess of off-shell quark-antiquark annihilation into a virtual photon or Z boson which decays to lepton pair:

$$q^*(q_1) + \bar{q}^*(q_2) \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow l^+(p_1) + l^-(p_2), \quad (1)$$

where the four-momenta of all corresponding particles are given in the parentheses. In our theoretical calculations we follow the paper [10].

To calculate the total and differential cross sections one has to convolute the evaluated off-shell amplitude squared $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ with the TMD quark densities of the proton. Our master formula reads:

$$\sigma = \sum_q \int \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{16\pi(x_1 x_2 s)^2} f_q(x_1, \mathbf{q}_{1T}^2, \mu^2) f_q(x_2, \mathbf{q}_{2T}^2, \mu^2) d\mathbf{p}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{q}_{1T}^2 d\mathbf{q}_{2T}^2 dy_1 dy_2 \frac{d\phi_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_2}{2\pi}, \quad (2)$$

where s is the total energy, y_1 and y_2 are the center-of-mass rapidities of the produced leptons, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the azimuthal angles of the initial quarks having the fractions x_1 and x_2 of the longitudinal momenta of the colliding protons. The off-shell matrix elements were done in [10]. Here we concentrate on the CCFM approach to calculate the TMD parton densities of the proton. The TMD gluon [11] and valence quark [12] distributions $f_g(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \mu^2)$ and $f_q^{(v)}(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2, \mu^2)$ have been obtained from the numerical solutions of the CCFM equation. Here $\mathbf{k}_T$ and $\mathbf{q}_T$ are the gluon and quark transverse momenta, respectively. In the approximation where the sea quarks occur in the last gluon-to-quark splitting, the TMD sea quark density at the next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln x)^n$ can be written[2] as follows:

$$f_q^{(s)}(x, \mathbf{q}_T^2, \mu^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \int d\mathbf{k}_T^2 \frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} P_{qg}(z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, Q^2) f_g(x/z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, \bar{\mu}^2), \quad (3)$$

where z is the fraction of the gluon light cone momentum which is carried out by the quark, and $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q}_T - z\mathbf{k}_T$. The sea quark evolution is driven by the off-shell gluon-to-quark splitting function $P_{qg}(z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, Q^2)$ [3]:

$$P_{qg}(z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, Q^2) = T_R \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + z(1-z)\mathbf{k}_T^2} \right)^2 \left[(1-z)^2 + z^2 + 4z^2(1-z)^2 \frac{\mathbf{k}_T^2}{Q^2} \right], \quad (4)$$

with $T_R = 1/2$. The splitting function $P_{qg}(z, \mathbf{k}_T^2, Q^2)$ has been obtained by generalizing to finite transverse momenta, in the high-energy region, the two-particle irreducible kernel expansion [13]. The scale $\bar{\mu}^2$ is defined[11] from the angular ordering condition which is natural from the point of view of the CCFM evolution: $\bar{\mu}^2 = Q^2/(1-z)^2 + \mathbf{k}_T^2/(1-z)$. To be precise, in (3) we have used A0 gluon [11].

Beside the CCFM-based approximation above, to determine the TMD quark densities in a proton we have used also the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin (KMR) approach[14, 4]. The difference between the CCFM-based and KMR approaches are visible clearly (see [10]) in the sea quark distributions which are driven mainly by the gluon densities.




FIGURE 1. The differential cross sections of Drell-Yan lepton pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of dilepton invariant mass. The solid and dash-dotted histograms correspond to the CCFM-based and KMR predictions, respectively. The upper and lower dashed histograms correspond to the scale variations in the CCFM calculations, as it is described in the text. The experimental data are from CMS [15] and ATLAS [16].

NUMERICAL RESULTS

After we fixed the TMD quark densities, the cross section (2) depends on the renormalization and factorization scales μ_R and μ_F . Numerically, we set them to be equal to $\mu_R = \mu_F = \xi M$, where M is the invariant mass of produced lepton pair. To estimate the scale uncertainties of our calculations we vary the parameter ξ between 1/2 and 2 about the default value $\xi = 1$. We set $m_Z = 91.1876$ GeV, $\Gamma_Z = 2.4952$ GeV, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23122$ and use the LO formula for the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu^2)$ with $n_f = 4$ active quark flavors at $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 200$ MeV, so that $\alpha_s(m_Z^2) = 0.1232$. Since we investigate a wide region of M , we use the running QED coupling constant $\alpha(\mu^2)$. To take into account the non-logarithmic loop corrections to the quark-antiquark annihilation cross section we apply the effective K -factor, as it was done in [4, 17]:

$$K = \exp \left[C_F \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi} \pi^2 \right], \quad (5)$$

where color factor $C_F = 4/3$. A particular scale choice $\mu^2 = \mathbf{p}_T^{4/3} M^{2/3}$ (with $\mathbf{p}_T$ being the transverse momentum of produced lepton pair) has been proposed [4, 17].

Some of the results of our calculations are presented in Figs. 1 — 2 in comparison with the LHC data (for more details see [10]). The differential cross sections as a function of dilepton invariant mass and rapidity are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. We find that these distributions are described reasonably well by the CCFM-based calculations.




FIGURE 2. The differential cross sections of Drell-Yan lepton pair production in pp collisions at the LHC as a function of dilepton rapidity y . Notation of all histograms is the same as in Fig. 1. The experimental data are from CMS [18] and LHCb [19].




FIGURE 3. The differential cross sections of associated lepton pair production in pp collisions at the LHC. Notation of histograms is the same as in Fig. 1. The experimental data are from ATLAS [20].

However, the KMR predictions significantly (by a factor of about 2) underestimate the LHC data, mainly due to different behaviour of corresponding TMD sea quark densities at low transverse momenta (see Fig. 1). We observe that the shape of dilepton invariant mass distributions is not very sensitive to the TMD quark densities. This is in a contrast with the distributions on the dilepton rapidity, where the CCFM and KMR predictions differ from each other and the KMR TMD does not describe the data (Fig. 2).

Fig. 3 shows our results [21] for the associated dilepton pair and jet production in pp collisions at the LHC. We see that the CCFM-based predictions agree well with the data $\Delta^{jet-jet}$ distribution, where the role of jets originated from the evolution cascade, which results in the uncertainties in our predictions (see discuss in [21]), is suppressed due to hard scale $\mu^2 \sim m_Z^2$.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that the studies of Drell-Yan lepton pair production and also in the association with jet in pp collisions at the LHC provide important information about the TMD quark distributions of the proton. The sensitivity of predicted cross sections to the TMD quark densities is clearly visible in the mass and the rapidity distributions of the produced lepton pairs and the jet-jet correlations. It is important for further investigations of small- x physics at hadron colliders, in particular, in the direction which concerns the non-linear effects originating from high parton densities at small x .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Jung for careful reading the manuscript and very useful remarks. The authors are also grateful to F. Hautmann and S. Marzani for discussions and comments. This research was supported by the FASI of Russian Federation (grant NS-3042.2014.2), RFBR grant 13-02-01060 and the grant of the Ministry of education and sciences of Russia (agreement 8412). The authors are also grateful to DESY Directorate for the support in the framework of Moscow—DESY project on Monte-Carlo implementation for HERA—LHC. N.Z. is very grateful to the Organization Committee, in particular A. Papa and R. Fiore, for the invitation and the financial support.

REFERENCES

1. J.C. Collins, *Foundations of perturbative QCD*, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
2. E. Avsar, arXiv:1108.1181 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1203.1916 [hep-ph].
3. F. Hautmann, M. Hentschinski and H. Jung, arXiv:1205.1759 [hep-ph].
4. S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **427**, 475 (1994).
5. G. Watt, A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C **66**, 163 (2010).
6. A. Szczurek and G. Slipek, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 114007 (2008).
7. A.V. Lipatov, M.A. Malyshev and N.P. Zotov, JHEP **1112**, 117 (2011).
8. L.N. Lipatov and M.I. Vyazovsky, Nucl. Phys. B **597**, 399 (2001).
9. A.V. Bogdan and V.S. Fadin, Nucl. Phys. B **740**, 36 (2006).
10. L.N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B **452**, 369 (1995); Phys. Rept. **286**, 131 (1997).
11. S.P. Baranov, A.V. Lipatov and N.P. Zotov, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 094025 (2014).
12. H. Jung, arXiv:hep-ph/0411287.
13. M. Deak, H. Jung and K. Kutak, arXiv:0807.2403 [hep-ph].
14. G. Curci, W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. B **175**, 27 (1980).
15. M.A. Kimber, A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 114027 (2001); Eur. Phys. J. C **12**, 655 (2000).
16. CMS Collaboration, JHEP **1110**, 007 (2011).
17. ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **720**, 32 (2013).
18. A. Kulesza and W.J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. B **555**, 279 (1999).
19. CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 752 (2013).
20. LHCb Collaboration, JHEP **1302**, 106 (2013).
21. ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 072004 (2012).
22. A.V. Lipatov and N.P. Zotov, arXiv:1409.0514 [hep-ph].